

A Correction Note on Small Area Estimation

Abdulahakeem A.H. Eideh

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Al-Quds University, Abu-Deis Campus, Palestine. E-mail: msabdul@palnet.com

The article “Small Area Estimation—New Developments and Directions” by Danny Pfeffermann published in the *International Statistical Review* (2002), 70 (1), 125–143 has an error. Equation (6.4) on p. 139 should be:

For known coefficients a_0 and a_1 , and known variances σ_u^2 and σ_ϵ^2 , the best linear unbiased predictors of the area means for areas in the sample and for areas not in the sample are correspondingly,

$$\hat{\theta}_{s,i} = \gamma_i \bar{y}_i + (1 - \gamma_i) \hat{\mu}^* - a_1 \sigma_u^2, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_{c,i} = \hat{E}(\theta_i | i \notin s, y) = \hat{\mu} - a_1 \sigma_u^2 \frac{k \exp(a_0 + a_1 \hat{\mu} + 0.5 a_1^2 \sigma_u^2)}{1 - k \exp(a_0 + a_1 \hat{\mu} + 0.5 a_1^2 \sigma_u^2)}, \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{y}_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$, $\hat{\mu}^* = (\sum_{i=1}^m v_i)^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^m v_i \bar{y}_i)$, $v_i = n_i / (n_i \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2)$, $\gamma_i = \sigma_u^2 v_i$, $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}^* - a_1 \sigma_u^2$ and $y = \{y_{ij}; i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$ defines the observed y -values.

Proof. (I) Prediction of the area means for areas in the sample

Population model: the unit level random effect model,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} &= \theta_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad \epsilon_{ij} \sim NI(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, N_i \\ \theta_i &= \mu + u_i, \quad u_i \sim NI(0, \sigma_u^2), \quad i = 1, \dots, M, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where ϵ_{ij} and u_i are independent.

Sampling design: two-stage sampling design. Let s denote the sample of areas and s_i the sample of units from sampled area i .

(a) The selection of areas is with probabilities $\pi_i = \Pr(i \in s)$ such that

$$E_p(\pi_i | \theta_i) = k \exp(a_0 + a_1 \theta_i); \quad k > 0. \tag{4}$$

(b) The sampling process within the areas is noninformative.